## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE UNISYS CORPORATION RETIREE MEDICAL BENEFITS ERISA LITIGATION		: MDL DOCKET NO. 969
THIS DOCUMENT  Romano Bennett Mercure Adair Albert Doherty	No. 92-6938 No. 93-1668 No. 93-3838 No. 03-3924 No. 04-106 No. 04-107	

## STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: DISMISSAL OF ROMANO, BENNETT, MERCURE, ADAIR, ALBERT, AND DOHERTY ACTIONS AND CLOSURE OF MDL NO. 969

WHEREAS, by Order dated February 4, 2003, the Court granted the motion of defendant Unisys Corporation to decertify the remaining claims of the Burroughs Class and Unisys Class;

WHEREAS, the Court ordered that the statutes of limitations that would apply to the ERISA breach of fiduciary duty claims of each former member of the Burroughs Class and Unisys Class which were pending at the time of decertification in the above captioned actions were tolled during the period February 4, 2003 to and including to January 16, 2004;

WHEREAS, on July 1, 2003, plaintiffs filed and served a Complaint in *Adair, et al. v. Unisys Corporation*, No. 03-CV-3924, and amended that complaint on January 9, 2004, and filed and served Complaints in *Albert, et al. v. Unisys Corporation*, No. 04-CV-106, and *Doherty, et al. v. Unisys Corporation*, No. 04-CV-107 on January 9, 2004, on behalf of certain former members of the Burroughs Class;

WHEREAS, on July 1, 2003 plaintiffs filed and served a Complaint in *Aamodt, et al. v. Unisys Corporation*, No. 03-CV-3925, and amended that complaint on January 16, 2004, and

filed and served a Complaint in Ahersold, et al. v. Unisys Corporation, No. 04-CV-202 on January 16, 2004, on behalf of certain former members of the Unisys Class; and

WHEREAS, the claims of all other plaintiffs who were litigants in the *Romano*, No. 92-CV-6938, *Bennett*, No. 93-CV-1668, and *Mercure*, No. 93-CV-3838, actions were provided mailed notice in 2003 that if they wished to continue litigating their ERISA breach of fiduciary duty claims relating to their post-retirement medical benefits against Unisys Corporation they were required to join the *Adair*, *Albert*, *Doherty*, *Aamodt* or *Abersold* actions;

WHEREAS, the claims of all plaintiffs with unresolved claims who were litigants in the Romano Bennett and Mercure actions are now being, or were asserted and litigated in, the Adair, Albert, Doherty, Aamodt or Abersold actions;

WHEREAS, on July 14, 2005, the claims of 15 plaintiffs named in the *Adair* Complaint were severed for trial before Magistrate Judge Thomas J. Reuter, and after one of those 15 plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed his claim before the trial, the Magistrate Judge tried the claims of 14 plaintiffs and their spouses – Ernestine DiLoreto, Dennis Gallagher, Francis Walnut, Helen Peterman, Mary Castorani, Henry Geneva, Ruth Stringer, Howard Hansell, Thomas Yeager, Elihu Ginsberg, Vernon Horshaw, Theodore Botzum, Eugene Paul Endress and Robert Schieman (the "Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs").

WHEREAS, all plaintiffs who remain as litigants in the *Adair*, *Albert* and *Doherty* actions, with the exception of the Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs, have either settled their claims with Unisys, assert claims that should have previously been dismissed, or are deceased;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the parties by and through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A), the claims asserted in the following actions are hereby dismissed with prejudice except that such dismissals are without prejudice as to the plaintiffs in these actions who are also named as plaintiffs in either of the pending Abersold or Aamodt actions (and whose claims in those actions have not yet been dismissed):

Romano, et al. v. Unisys Corp., No. 92-CV-6938

Bennett, et al. v. Unisys Corp., No. 93-CV-1668

Mercure, et al. v. Unisys Corp., No. 93-CV-3838

- 2. This stipulated dismissal of the *Romano*, *Bennett* and *Mercure* actions shall in no way affect Unisys' pending appeal of the judgment and relicf entered in favor of 12 of the Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs.
- 3. This stipulated dismissal of the *Romano*, *Bennett* and *Mercure* actions shall not impair the claims of any individuals who are named as plaintiffs in any one of the pending *Abersold* or *Aamodt* actions.
- 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A), the following actions are hereby dismissed with prejudice:

Albert, et al. v. Unisys Corporation, No. 04-CV-106

Doherty, et al. v. Unisys Corporation, No. 04-CV-107

5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A), the claims of all plaintiffs in *Adair, et al. v. Unisys Corporation*, No. 03-CV-3924, with the exception of the Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs, are hereby dismissed with prejudice. This stipulated dismissal is made subject to and without prejudice to the judgment and relief entered in favor of 12 of the Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs, and shall not in any way affect Unisys' pending appeal of the judgment and relief entered in favor of 12 of the Severed *Adair* Plaintiffs.

6. The Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to send a copy of this Stipulation and Order to the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation and also notify the Clerk of the Panel that all of the constituent actions which were transferred to this District by the Panel as part of the MDL No. 969 proceedings have been terminated in this District.

Dated: February 9, 2010

Joseph J. Costello

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 963-5295

Joseph A. Teklits Unisys Corporation Unisys Way MS E8-114 Blue Bell, PA 19424 Alan M. Sandals

SANDALS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

One South Broad Street Suite 1850 Philadelphia, PA 19107

(215) 825-4000

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Counsel for Defendant Unisys Corporation

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF

, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

John R. Padova

J,